



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,033	09/28/2000	Blair B.A. Birmingham	ATI-000090	7656
34456 75	90 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
TOLER & LARSON & ABEL L.L.P.			PATEL, HARESH N	
PO BOX 29567 AUSTIN, TX 78755-9567			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	,)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	PL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/675,033	BIRMINGHAM, BLAIR B.A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haresh Patel	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2126

The disclosure is objected. Some of the informalities are:

i. All the known prior art contents from the "DETAILED DESCRIPTION

OF THE INVENTION" section needs to be moved into the "Description of

Related Art" sub-section of the "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION"

section.

Page 3

ii. The sub-section "Description of Related Art" of the "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" section must contain all known prior arts. This sub-section lacks known prior art information, which is used in the dependent claims, like, the details of the functionality of the appliance operating system.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application. As stated in the filed of the invention "The present invention relates generally to information handling systems and more particularly to using multiple operating systems within a single information handling system", the invention is not the creation of the operating systems (both, appliance and general operating system) from scratch, hence applicant must submit the know prior arts for the appliance and general operating systems.

In response to this requirement, please provide the title, citation and copy of each publication that is a source used for the description of the prior art in the disclosure. For each

Application/Control Number: 09/675,033 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

publication, please provide a concise explanation of that publication's contribution to the description of the prior art.

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete reply to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8-10, 13, 14, 18, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. 6,327,653 (Hereinafter Lee) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).
- 5. As per claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8-10, 13, 14, 18, 22 and 26, Lee teaches the following: a method comprising,
- a computer readable medium tangibly embodying a plurality of instructions said plurality of instructions including,

an information handling system comprising:

Art Unit: 2126

providing a plurality of operating systems on a single information handling device (e.g., A technique for easily changing an operating system or working mode of a digital computer system, abstract),

the plurality of operating systems including an appliance operating system to control the information handling device to operate an appliance (e.g., For example, in personal computers (PCS), changing the operating system is effected between executing application programs under a disk operating system (DOS) of a text mode and executing application programs under Windows 95 or 98, a PC function and a settop box function, a PC function and a network terminal function, and a PC function and a television function, col. 1, lines 39 – 45),

a general operating system to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., For example, in personal computers (PCS), changing the operating system is effected between executing application programs under a disk operating system (DOS) of a text mode and executing application programs under Windows 95 or 98, a PC function and a settop box function, a PC function and a network terminal function, and a PC function and a television function, col. 1, lines 39 – 45),

appliance operating system is independent of the general operating system (e.g., For example, in personal computers (PCS), changing the operating system is effected between executing application programs under a disk operating system (DOS) of a text mode and executing application programs under Windows 95 or 98, a PC function and a settop box function, a PC function and a network terminal function, and a PC function and a television function, col. 1, lines 39 – 45),

Art Unit: 2126

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., For example, in personal computers (PCS), changing the operating system is effected between executing application programs under a disk operating system (DOS) of a text mode and executing application programs under Windows 95 or 98, a PC function and a set-top box function, a PC function and a network terminal function, and a PC function and a television function, col. 1, lines 39 – 45),

executing appliance operating system and general operating system concurrently (e.g., The present invention relates to a technique for enabling a digital computer system to easily change its operating system or working mode and, more particularly, to a technique for enabling a digital computer system to easily change its operating system while under a different operating system or to easily change its working mode under the same operating system, col. 1, lines 25 – 31),

switching between operating systems (e.g., The computer system includes a plurality of operating systems, and OS switching unit for switching a plurality of operating systems. The OS switching means makes reference to a preferential interrupt table on the basis of an interrupt factor for switching to corresponding operating system and calls interrupt processing means incorporated in the operating system, abstract),

a data processor (e.g., processor, figure 1);

a bios to provide initial processor control (e.g., The control program is a POST (power on self test) of a BIOS (basic input output system) program within the ROM in a personal computer, col. 1, lines 25-31),

a memory coupled to said processor (e.g., main memory, figure 1) and

Art Unit: 2126

a communications interface (e.g., bus, figure 1).

executing the appliance operating system includes reading the appliance operating system from a non-volatile memory circuit; and executing the general operating system includes reading the general operating system from a mass storage device (e.g., usage of RAM, ROM and backup memory, figure 1, abstract),

said bios is to control which of said plurality of operating systems is executed (e.g., While the boot program executes, an user executes an application program by selecting one operating system, that is, one operating system from among many kinds of operating systems, col. 2, lines 6-30),

said one or more appliances are media handling systems (e.g., television, col. 1, lines 39 – 45),

said one or more media handling systems include at least one of an audio device and a visual device (e.g., television, col. 1, lines 39 – 45).

However, Lee does not specifically teach executing the appliance using the operating system.

AAPA teaches the following:

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., general operating system to handle general tasks, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6),

executing the appliance operating system to control an appliance (e.g., operating system to implement all tasks, including home finance, web browsing, television tuning, compact disk playing and digital video disk playing, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6).

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lee with the teachings of AAPA in order to facilitate the use of the general operating system and an operating system handling an entertainment device, to run concurrently within a single system.

- 6. Claims 1- 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo et al. 6,615,303 (Hereinafter Endo) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).
- 7. As per claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16-26, Endo teaches the following: a method comprising,

a computer readable medium tangibly embodying a plurality of instructions said plurality of instructions including,

an information handling system comprising:

providing a plurality of operating systems on a single information handling device (e.g., A computer system is provided with a scheme to making the input and output device provided in a computer in common for a plurality of operating system, in a multiple operating system control unit operating a plurality of mutually distinct operating systems on one computer system, abstract),

the plurality of operating systems including an appliance operating system to control the information handling device to operate an appliance (e.g., However, similarly to a system for operating a plurality of virtual computers (operating systems) in parallel on the large size computer, if the general purpose OS and the real time OS can be operated in the same computer system in a build-in system to switch the operating system as required, it may be possible to

Art Unit: 2126

achieve both of superior used interface and real time characteristics and reliability. Considering improvement of performance of the microprocessor, operating a plurality of operating systems in one computer system has not been a technology permitted only the large size computer, col. 2, lines 11 – 22),

Page 9

a general operating system to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., an office work operating system (general purpose OS) to be typically used in typical personal computer (PC) is provided, col. 1, lines 54 – 65),

appliance operating system is independent of the general operating system (e.g., Here, in the frame memory, conflict of resource is avoided by making the a drawing region 317 for general purpose OS storing image generated by the graphic driver 310 of the general purpose OS and a drawing region 318 for the real time OS storing image generated by a graphic fdriver 312 of the real time OS independent or separated, col. 1, line 6 – col. 3, line 28),

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (general purpose OS) to be typically used in typical personal computer (PC) is provided, col. 1, line 6 – col. 3, line 28),

executing appliance operating system and general operating system concurrently (e.g., A computer system comprising: concurrently operating plural operating systems, col. 1, line 6 – col. 3, line 28),

switching between operating systems (e.g., to switch the operating system as required, col. 2, lines 11 - 22),

- a data processor (e.g., processor, figure 1);
- a bios to provide initial processor control (e.g., bios, figure 2);

Art Unit: 2126

a memory coupled to said processor (e.g., main memory, figure 2) and a communications interface (e.g., input/output interface, figure 2),

switching includes discontinuing the execution of one operating system prior to executing another operating system (e.g., The computer system includes a plurality of operating systems, and OS switching unit for switching a plurality of operating systems. The OS switching means makes reference to a preferential interrupt table on the basis of an interrupt factor for switching to corresponding operating system and calls interrupt processing means incorporated in the operating system, abstract),

executing includes checking for resource conflicts (e.g., in order to avoid conflict of data, it is desirable to exclusively access the common memory using a semaphore function, col. 6, lines 11 - 38),

including one or more appliances to be coupled to said at least one communications interface (e.g., network, figure 1),

one or more appliances are to be coupled to said communications interface via a network (e.g., network, figure 1),

said communications interface is an electrical interface (e.g., network, figure 1),

a resource conflict check is performed when said operating systems are executed (e.g., in order to avoid conflict of data, it is desirable to exclusively access the common memory using a semaphore function, col. 6, lines 11 - 38),

execution of said general operating system is terminated before switching to said appliance operating system (e.g., The computer system includes a plurality of operating systems, and OS switching unit for switching a plurality of operating systems. The OS switching means

Art Unit: 2126

:

makes reference to a preferential interrupt table on the basis of an interrupt factor for switching to corresponding operating system and calls interrupt processing means incorporated in the operating system, abstract),

execution of said appliance operating system is terminated before switching to said general operating system (e.g., The computer system includes a plurality of operating systems, and OS switching unit for switching a plurality of operating systems. The OS switching means makes reference to a preferential interrupt table on the basis of an interrupt factor for switching to corresponding operating system and calls interrupt processing means incorporated in the operating system, abstract),

executing the general operating system includes checking for resource conflicts. (e.g., in order to avoid conflict of data, it is desirable to exclusively access the common memory using a semaphore function, col. 6, lines 11 - 38).

However, Endo does not specifically teach executing the appliance using the operating system.

AAPA teaches the following:

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., general operating system to handle general tasks, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6),

executing the appliance operating system to control an appliance (e.g., operating system to implement all tasks, including home finance, web browsing, television tuning, compact disk playing and digital video disk playing, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6).

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Endo with the teachings of AAPA in order to facilitate the use of the general operating system and an operating system handling an entertainment device, to run concurrently within a single system.

- 8. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 18, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solomon et al. 6,269,409 (Hereinafter Solomon) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).
- 9. As per claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 18, 22 and 26, Solomon teaches the following: a method comprising,

a computer readable medium tangibly embodying a plurality of instructions said plurality of instructions including,

an information handling system comprising:

providing a plurality of operating systems on a single information handling device (e.g., The present invention provides an improved method and apparatus for concurrent execution of operating systems. A software abstraction layer provides an interface that allows a first operating system to run concurrently with a second operating system on the same data processing system, in which the first operating system is in communication with the base machine in the data processing system, abstract),

the plurality of operating systems including an appliance operating system to control the information handling device to operate an appliance (e.g., The present invention provides an improved method and apparatus for concurrent execution of operating systems. A software

Art Unit: 2126

abstraction layer provides an interface that allows a first operating system to <u>run concurrently</u>
with a second operating system on the same data processing system, in which the first operating
system is in communication with the base machine in the data processing system, abstract),

a general operating system to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., A software abstraction layer provides an interface that allows a first operating system to run concurrently with a second operating system on the same data processing system, in which the first operating system is in communication with the base machine in the data processing system, abstract),

appliance operating system is independent of the general operating system (e.g., This and other types of architectures employed to allow for execution for multiple operating systems depend on the emulation of one environment or the other. Such emulations can lead to significant performance losses and incapabilities. Therefore, it would be advantageous to have an improved method and apparatus for concurrently executing multiple operating systems, col. 2, lines 5 – 11),

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., the first operating system is in communication with the base machine in the data processing system, abstract),

switching between operating systems (e.g., to switch the operating system as required, col. 2, lines 11 - 22),

executing appliance operating system and general operating system concurrently A software abstraction layer provides an interface that allows a first operating system to <u>run</u> concurrently with a second operating system on the same data <u>processing system</u>, abstract),

Application/Control Number: 09/675,033 Page 14

Art Unit: 2126

a data processor (e.g., processor, figure 2);

a bios to provide initial processor control (e.g., base machine, figure 3);

a memory coupled to said processor (e.g., main memory, figure 2) and

a communications interface (e.g., bus, figure 2).

However, Solomon does not specifically teach executing the appliance using the operating system.

AAPA teaches the following:

executing the general operating system to control the information handling device to perform general information handling tasks (e.g., general operating system to handle general tasks, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6),

executing the appliance operating system to control an appliance (e.g., operating system to implement all tasks, including home finance, web browsing, television tuning, compact disk playing and digital video disk playing, page 1, line 11 – page 2 – line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Solomon with the teachings of AAPA in order to facilitate the use of the general operating system and an operating system handling an entertainment device, to run concurrently within a single system.

- 10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo and AAPA.
- 11. As per claim 15, Endo and AAPA do not specifically teach that the communication interface is a wireless interface. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing the wireless communication interface is well known and expected in the art.

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wireless communication mechanism with the teachings of Lee, Solomon or Endo in order to facilitate different kind of communication mechanisms.

Page 15

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (703) 605-5234. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached at (703) 305-8498.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-5404.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Haresh Patel

October 22, 2003.

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100